OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

1	FREDENBERG BEAMS Daniel E. Fredenberg – 020158		
2	Christian C. M. Beams – 019672		
3	4747 N. 7 th Street, Suite 402 Phoenix, Arizona 85014		
4	Telephone: 602/595-9299		
5	Email: dfredenberg@fblegalgroup.com Email: dfredenberg@fblegalgroup.com		
6	Miles and the second se		
7	Attorneys for Johnson Utilities, LLC		
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA C	ORPORATION COMMISSION	
9	COMMISSIONERS		
10	ROBERT "BOB" BURNS, Chairman		
11	LEA MARQUEZ PETERSON BOYD DUNN		
12	SANDRA D. KENNEDY		
13	JUSTIN OLSON		
85 56	IN THE MATTER OF THE	No. WS-02987A-18-0050	
14	COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF THE BILLING AND WATER QUALITY	REQUEST THAT COMMISSIONER	
15	ISSUES OF JOHNSON UTILITIES, LLC	DUNN VOLUNTARILY RECUSE	
16		HIMSELF FROM PARTICIPATION OR VOTING REGARDING THE	
17		PROPOSED ORDER TO BE	
18		CONSIDERED AT THE JUNE 22, 2020 SPECIAL OPEN MEETING	
19	Johnson Utilities, LLC, ("Johnson	" or the "Company") hereby requests that	
20	13 18 61	se himself from further participation in the vot	
21	on the Proposed Order scheduled for the June 22, 2020 Special Open Meeting due to the fa		
22	that he has prejudged this matter and he has a conflict of interest. I. STANDARD FOR RECUSAL OF A CORPORATION COMMISSIONER		
23			
24	The quasi-judicial nature of conteste	ed proceedings before the Arizona Corporation	
25	Commission has been long recognized. State	e ex rel. Corbin v. Ariz. Corp. Comm'n, 143 Ariz	
120.2	l .		

219, 225, 693 P.2d 362, 368 (App. 1984). Thus, a participant in such a proceeding has a due

process right to have an impartial commission decide its case.

28

27

"We start from the premise that there are certain 'fundamental' procedural requisites which a person is entitled to receive at an administrative hearing which is quasi-judicial in nature. One is a decision by an *impartial* decision maker." *Rouse v. Scottsdale Unified School Dist.*, 156 Ariz. 369, 371, 752 P.2d 22, 24 (App. 1987) (emphasis added). This means that such proceedings "must be attended, not only with every element of fairness but with the very appearance of complete fairness." *Horne v. Polk*, 242 Ariz. 226, 234, ¶ 28, 394 P.3d 651, 659 (2017) (internal quotation marks omitted).

Further, the Comment to Rule 4.1, Conflicts of Interest, Arizona Corporation Commission Code of Ethics, provides:

A Commissioner should disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding where that Commissioner determines that he or she cannot be impartial, such as when the Commissioner has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party. Commissioners should also not allow family, social, or other relationships to influence their official conduct or judgment.

(Emphasis added). As shown below, the circumstances are present here to justify Commissioner Dunn's recusing himself from further participation involving EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. ("EPCOR"), the interim manager appointed in this docket.

II. CLOSE RELATIONSHIP WITH EPCOR REPRESENTATIVE IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Company understands that attorney Garry Hays and Commissioner Dunn have had a close personal and advisory relationship for many years. This relationship began as early as 2008, when Mr. Hays and Commissioner Dunn served together on the City of Chandler's Green Building Program while the latter was mayor. The Company also understands that Mr. Hays was a trusted advisor and strategist to Commissioner Dunn during his Corporation Commission campaign, and that Mr. Hays continues to advise Commissioner Dunn on an ongoing basis. For example, upon information and belief, Mr. Hays recently interceded with legal counsel who brought a complaint to remove Commissioner Dunn from the 2020 Republican Primary ballot in an attempt to persuade counsel to not proceed with litigation.

The Company also understands that Mr. Hays performs consulting services for EPCOR and was extensively involved in the contentious eminent domain matter brought against EPCOR by the City of Bullhead City in Mohave County.

The Company is concerned that Commissioner Dunn's relationship with EPCOR, through Mr. Hays, is affecting his impartiality in this case and, in fact, has caused him to prejudge it.

Indeed, at the recent June 10, 2020 Open Meeting, Commissioner Dunn's prejudgment of this case was clear. There, Commissioner Dunn openly suggested that the yet-to-be-discussed-or-approved Proposed Order that will be discussed at the Special Open Meeting on June 22, 2020 should include a "seven day" deadline for Johnson Utilities to submit a financing application for the proposed \$20 million project to which that Order is intended to be directed. Not only is such a suggestion premised on the Commission's presupposed approval of the Proposed Order, but it is axiomatic that such a short deadline would not provide the Company with sufficient time to exhaust administrative remedies, much less raise any issues on appeal.

Johnson Utilities has demonstrated that there are alternatives to this project that the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") agrees would bring compliance 1) faster and 2) less expensively to its rate paying customers. But rather than acknowledge the jurisdiction of ADEQ with regard to these sorts of technical compliance matters and giving weight to its findings, Commissioner Dunn appears to be advocating the EPCOR agenda of forcing the Company to expend tens of millions on a project that is not yet necessary or warranted at this time to achieve compliance.

Commissioner Dunn also contended at the June 10, 2020 Open Meeting that the Commission should discuss – at the same time the proposed Order will be discussed – how the ACC will address contempt in the event the Company fails to abide by this <u>yet-to-be-discussed-or-approved</u> Proposed Order.

Commissioner Dunn is a member of the State Bar of Arizona. He has served as a Superior Court Judge. Most certainly, he understands due process and his role as an impartial, neutral fact finder. He understands that the Company should have the

28

Constitutional right to be heard before a \$20 million order is entered against it. But the actions of Commissioner Dunn, due to his close relationship with EPCOR through Mr. Hays, demonstrate otherwise. And for this reason, Commissioner Dunn should recuse himself voluntarily.

III. CONCLUSION

The Company does not take the Commission's ethical rules lightly, and it does not bring attention to these issues to cause delay or for any other reason. It simply wants precisely what the Constitution provides: fairness. Fairness before a \$20 million order is entered against it.

For the foregoing reasons, Johnson Utilities respectfully asks Commissioner Dunn to voluntary recuse himself from discussing or voting on the Proposed Order to be considered at the June 22, 2020 Special Open Meeting.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of June, 2020.

FREDENBERG BEAMS

By: /s/ Daniel E. Fredenberg
Daniel E. Fredenberg
Christian C. M. Beams
Attorneys for Johnson Utilities, LLC

ORIGINAL filed with Docket Control via e-File this 19th day of June, 2020.

COPIES of the foregoing e-mailed or mailed this 19th day of June, 2020, to:

Consented to Service by E-mail

Robin Mitchell, Director-Legal Division Craig A. Marks, Esq. ARIZONA CORPORATION CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676 COMMISSION 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85028 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for Swing First Golf LegalDiv@azcc.gov CraigAMarksPLC@gmail.com utildivservicebyemail@azcc.gov Dave@swingfirst.co rmitchell@azcc.gov Jeff@swingfirst.co wvancleve@azcc.gov Consented to Service by E-mail ndavis@azcc.gov

1 2 3 4	Jason D. Gellman EPCOR WATER ARIZONA INC. 2355 W. Pinnacle Peak Road, Suite 300 Phoenix, Arizona 85027 jgellman@epcor.com Interim Manager for Johnson Utilities	Clifford Mattice, Town Attorney TOWN OF FLORENCE 775 N. Main Street P.O. Box 2670 Florence, Arizona 85132 Clifford.Mattice@florenceaz.gov
5	Consented to Service by E-mail	Brent.Billingsley@florenceaz.gov
6	Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel	Consented to Service by E-mail
	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE	Patrick J. Black, Esq. Lauren A. Ferrigni
7	1110 W. Washington Street, Suite 220	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600
9	procedural@azruco.gov	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
9	dpozefsky@azruco.gov	Attorneys for El Dorado Arizona Farms,
10	Consented to Service by E-mail	LLC
11		pblack@fclaw.com
- Kak	Catherine Labranche	lferrigni@fclaw.com
12	28984 M. Agave Road	Consented to Service by E-mail
13	San Tan Valley, Arizona 85143	
		Daron Thompson
14	Marty Harper, Esq.	439 E. Harold Dr.
15	Sharon Moyer, Esq. ASU ALUMNI LAW GROUP	San Tan Valley, Arizona 85140
15		Scott A. Holcomb. Esa
16	111 E. Taylor Street, Suite 120 MC8520	Scott A. Holcomb, Esq. David J. Ouimette, Esq.
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Albert H. Acken, Esq.
17	Attorneys for the George H. Johnson	
18	Revocable Trust U/T/A dated July 9, 1987,	1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400
19	as amended	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
20	notices@asualumnilawgroup.org	Attorneys for Town of Florence and Town
20	sharon.moyer@asualumnilawgroup.org	of Queen Creek
21	marty.harper@asualumnilawgroup.org philomena.patino@asualumnilawgroup.org	sholcomb@dickinsonwright.com douimette@dickinsonwright.com
22	karen.hagen@asualumnilawgroup.org	aacken@dickinsonwright.com
22	Consented to Service by E-Mail	Consented to Service by E-mail
23		<u>,</u>
24	Warren Moffatt	Michele L. Van Quathem, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MICHELE VAN
25	177 W. Twin Peaks Parkway San Tan Valley, Arizona 85143	QUATHEM, PLLC
200 05	San Tan Vancy, Anizona 03143	7600 N. 15 th Street, Suite 150-30
26	John Dantico	Phoenix, Arizona 85020
27	5597 E. Dionysus Dr.	Attorneys for Pulte Home Company, LLC;
	Florence, Arizona 85132	Beazer Homes Holdings, LLC; Beazer
28		

tav.	jdantico@gmail.com	Homes Sales, Inc.; Taylor
1	Consented to Service by E-mail	Morrison/Arizona, Inc.; D.R. Horton, Inc.;
2		LGI Homes-Arizona, LLC; LGI Homes AZ
	Fernando Zapata	Construction, LLC; LGI Homes AZ Sales,
3	532 E. Red Mesa Trail	LLC; LGI Homes Group, LLC; ARCUS
4	San Tan Valley, Arizona 85143	Real Estate Holdings, LLC; ARCUS W Z
	dadzap@gmail.com	Forever 7, LLC; ARCUS Stord, LLC;
5	Consented to Service by E-mail	ARCUS San Tan Shadows, LLC; ARCUS
6		Investors II, LLC; LeSueur Investments V,
1000	Brian Bergin, Esq.	LLC; Eloy 660, LLC; Whitewing Land
7	Kevin Kasarjian, Esq.	Investment, LLC; Alablanca Development,
8	BERGIN, FRAKES, SMALLEY &	Inc.; Pathway Arizona LLC
	OBERHOLTZER, PLLC	mvq@mvqlaw.com
9	4343 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018	Christopher. Ward@PulteGroup.com michael.dunn@beazer.com
10	Attorneys for CMG 900, LLC	carol.grumley@beazer.com
S. S. M.	bbergin@bfsolaw.com	sfrancoeur@taylormorrison.com
11	kberry@bfsolaw.com	rcoltin@drhorton.com
12	Consented to Service by E-Mail	price.nosky@lgihomes.com
	Compensed to Service 8,7 E 112012	twright@landmark.net
13	Court S. Rich, Esq.	Consented to Service by E-Mail
14	Eric Hill, Esq.	
5430 13	ROSE LAW GROUP PC	Kent Volkmer, Pinal County Attorney
15	7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300	Kevin Costello, Deputy County Attorney
16	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251	PINAL COUNTY ATTORNEY
10	Attorneys for Fulton Homes Corporation;	
17	Trilogy Encanterra Construction, L.L.C.;	Florence, Arizona 85132
18	Crestfield 57, L.L.C., CVE-Crestfield,	Kevin.costello@pinalcountyaz.gov
10	L.L.C.; RMG Arizona Properties Holding	Kelly.pile@pinalcountyaz.gov
19	XVII, L.L.C.; RMG Lucky Hunt 65, L.L.C.;	Consented to Service by E-mail
20	RMG Residential 2010, LP; Palms-Magic Ranch 80, L.L.C.; Mesquite Trails	
20	(Phoenix) ASLI VIII, L.L.C.; RMG	
21	Monterra South, L.L.C.; RMG San Tan	
22	Heights 85, LP; Skyline & Quail, L.L.C.;	
22	Walker Butte 500, L.L.C.; Walker Butte	
23	700, L.L.C.; Starlight Homes Arizona, LLC;	
24	Ashton Wood Arizona, LLC; Richmond	
24	American Homes of Arizona Inc.	
25	crich@roselawgroup.com	
26	ehill@roselawgroup.com	
26	hslaughter@roselawgroup.com	
27	Consented to Service by E- Mail	